

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MERCED**

2260 N Street, Merced
627 W. 21st Street, Merced
1159 G Street, Los Banos

Friday, January 23, 2026

Tentative rulings are provided for the following courtrooms and assigned Judicial Officers with scheduled civil matters:

Courtroom 8 – Hon. Stephanie L. Jamieson

Courtroom 9 – Commissioner David Foster

Courtroom 12 – Hon. Jennifer O. Trimble

Parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the clerk of the court at (209) 725-4111 to seek permission and arrange for a remote appearance.

IMPORTANT: Court reporters will NOT be provided; parties must make their own arrangements. Electronic recording is available in certain courtrooms and may only be activated upon request.

The tentative rulings for specific calendars follow:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MERCED

Civil Law and Motion Tentative Rulings
Hon. Stephanie L. Jamieson
Courtroom 8

627 W. 21st Street, Merced

Friday, January 23, 2026
8:15 a.m.

The following tentative rulings shall become the ruling of the court unless a party gives notice of intention to appear as follows:

1. You must call (209) 725-4240 to notify the court of your intent to appear.
2. You must give notice to all other parties before 4:00 p.m. of your intent to appear.

Per California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308(a)(1), failure to do both items 1 and 2 will result in no oral argument. *Note:* Notifying CourtCall (the court's telephonic appearance provider) of your intent to appear does not satisfy the requirement of notifying the court.

Case No.	Title / Description
----------	---------------------

24CV-06596	Maria Romero vs Jose Oseguera, Junior, et al.
------------	---

Motion for Summary Adjudication

Plaintiff's motion for summary adjudication is DENIED as there is a disputed triable issue of material fact.

The court on its own motion takes judicial notice of the verified complaint filed on December 26, 2024, and the verified answer filed on April 16, 2025.

In reviewing a motion for summary judgment or summary adjudication, the court focuses on issue finding and does not resolve issues of fact. "The court seeks to find contradictions in the evidence, or inferences reasonably deducible from the evidence, which raise a triable issue of material fact." (*J.H. v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist.* (2010) 183 Cal.App.4th 123, 139.)

Although Defendant states Plaintiff's undisputed material fact #3 is undisputed, the arguments and evidence presented by Defendant do contradict and dispute this material fact.

In his opposition, Defendant argues there are disputed facts as to whether the parties held title as true joint tenants. (Oppo. Memo 8:18-9:22) This is supported by the declaration of Oseguera Jr. (Oseguera Jr. Decl. ¶¶ 5-9, 12-13) and Exhibit A attached thereto. This is also consistent with Defendant's verified answer where it was denied that there was an equal 50% interest in the property between the parties. This is sufficient to raise a triable issue of material fact.

If there is one, single, material fact in dispute, the motion must be denied. A factual issue is material whenever its determination could make a difference to the disposition of the motion. (See CRC 3.1350 subd. (a)(2).) The form of the title is material as it affects which statutory scheme is proper (e.g., the general provisions of Code of Civil Proc. § 872.010, et seq. or the Partition of Real Property Act, starting at 874.311.), which in turn may affect each party's rights.

Accordingly, as there is a dispute as to a triable issue of material fact, the motion for summary adjudication is **DENIED**.

25CV-03760 Rad Ashlock vs TVT Trucking, Inc., et al.

Motion to be Relieved as Counsel

CONTINUED ON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION to January 27, 2026, at 8:15 a.m. in order for notice to comply with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005.

The case management conference currently set for January 26, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. is VACATED and CONTINUED to trail the motion to be relieved as counsel.

25CV-04165 Tamela Qualls vs Department of Motor Vehicles

Motion to Waive or Reduce Administrative Record Fees and to Order Respondent to Lodge the Record

Motion to waive or reduce administrative record fees is DENIED. The Court has already granted the fee waiver within its jurisdiction to do so, by approving a waiver of court fees. Petitioner fails to make any showing of specific fees that are preventing her from acquiring the administrative record, nor does she provide any evidence that she is being denied access to the record on the basis of inability to pay.

25CV-06921 Stacey Meade vs Solenia Magsalay

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required.
