SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF MERCED

2260 N Street, Merced 627 W. 21st Street, Merced 1159 G Street, Los Banos

Friday, October 31, 2025

Tentative rulings are provided for the following courtrooms and assigned Judicial Officers with scheduled civil matters:

Courtroom 8 - Hon. Stephanie L. Jamieson

Courtroom 9 – Commissioner David Foster

Courtroom 12 - Hon. Jennifer O. Trimble

Courtroom 13 - Hon. Ashley Albertoni Sausser

Parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the clerk of the court at (209) 725-4111 to seek permission and arrange for a remote appearance.

IMPORTANT: Court reporters will NOT be provided; parties must make their own arrangements. Electronic recording is available in certain courtrooms and may only be activated upon request.

The tentative rulings for specific calendars follow:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF MERCED

Civil Law and Motion Tentative Rulings Hon. Stephanie L. Jamieson Courtroom 8

627 W. 21st Street, Merced

Friday, October 31, 2025 8:15 a.m.

The following tentative rulings shall become the ruling of the court unless a party gives notice of intention to appear as follows:

- 1. You must call (209) 725-4240 to notify the court of your intent to appear.
- 2. You must give notice to all other parties before 4:00 p.m. of your intent to appear.

Per California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308(a)(1), failure to do both items 1 and 2 will result in no oral argument. *Note*: Notifying CourtCall (the court's telephonic appearance provider) of your intent to appear does not satisfy the requirement of notifying the court.

Case No. Title / Description

24CV-01555 Tina Vargas vs Sablan Orthopedics, Inc., et al.

Motion for Summary Judgment

The unopposed motion for summary judgment by Defendants is GRANTED.

Upon consideration of all the evidence set forth in the moving papers, particularly the declaration of medical expert William Brien, M.D., and the attached medical records, the Court finds that there is no triable issue of material fact and Defendants are entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Defendants filed a separate statement of undisputed material facts, supported by admissible evidence, containing undisputed material facts that establish Defendants met the applicable standard of care in the care and treatment of Plaintiff.

This shifts the burden to Plaintiff to show the existence of one or more triable issues of material fact. (Code Civ. Proc. § 437c, subd. (p)(2).) By not filing any opposition, Plaintiff has failed to meet her burden on this motion.

Defendants are ordered to prepare and file a proposed order and judgment consistent with this order, to be filed with this court within five (5) days.

Trial Setting Conference

In light of the court's ruling on the motion for summary judgment, the trial setting conference is rendered moot.

25CV-02170 City of Fresno Employees Retirement System, et al. vs City of Fresno

Status Conference Re: Writ of Mandate

VACATED, per Court's order at case management conference on October 24, 2025.

25CR-03936 BMO Bank, NA vs Pedro A. Valencia, et al.

Ex Parte Application for Right to Attach Order

Appearance required.

Upon review of the application and supporting documents, this Court's tentative ruling, pending argument of counsel at the hearing, is to DENY the request for a right to attach order and issuance of a writ of attachment, and to GRANT a temporary protective order pending hearing on a properly noticed motion for writ of attachment with full briefing from the parties.

25CV-05083 Tiffany Loewen vs Jeremiah Sweeting

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have been served in this matter.

25CV-05386 Elizabeth Romero vs Tiffany Loewen

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. Proof of timely service on Respondent has been filed with the Court.

25CV-05414 Elizabeth Romero vs Aiden Stewart

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. Proof of timely service on Respondent has been filed with the Court.

25CV-05436 Elizabeth Romero vs Irie Loewen

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. Proof of timely service on Respondent has been filed with the Court.