

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MERCED

2260 N Street, Merced
627 W. 21st Street, Merced
1159 G Street, Los Banos

Wednesday, January 21, 2026

Tentative rulings are provided for the following courtrooms and assigned Judicial Officers with scheduled civil matters:

Courtroom 8 – Hon. Stephanie L. Jamieson

Courtroom 9 – Commissioner David Foster

Courtroom 12 – Hon. Jennifer O. Trimble

Parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the clerk of the court at (209) 725-4111 to seek permission and arrange for a remote appearance.

IMPORTANT: Court reporters will NOT be provided; parties must make their own arrangements. Electronic recording is available in certain courtrooms and may only be activated upon request.

The tentative rulings for specific calendars follow:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MERCED

Civil Law and Motion Tentative Rulings
Hon. Stephanie L. Jamieson
Courtroom 8

627 W. 21st Street, Merced

Wednesday, January 21, 2026
8:15 a.m.

The following tentative rulings shall become the ruling of the court unless a party gives notice of intention to appear as follows:

1. You must call (209) 725-4240 to notify the court of your intent to appear.
2. You must give notice to all other parties before 4:00 p.m. of your intent to appear.

Per California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308(a)(1), failure to do both items 1 and 2 will result in no oral argument. *Note:* Notifying CourtCall (the court's telephonic appearance provider) of your intent to appear does not satisfy the requirement of notifying the court.

Case No. Title / Description

21CV-00319 Christina Sweet vs Kayla Urutia, et. al.

Order of Examination (Non Appeal)

Appearance required. No proof of service of the order for examination has been filed with the Court.

24CV-06595 Midland States Bank vs Cloe Aguirre, et al.

Motion for Summary Judgment

The unopposed motion for summary judgment by Plaintiff Midland States Bank is GRANTED.

Upon consideration of all the evidence set forth in the moving papers the court finds that there is no triable issue of material fact and Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Plaintiff filed a separate statement of undisputed material facts, supported by admissible evidence, containing undisputed material facts that establish Defendants have breached the equipment finance agreement, and Defendant Aquirre breached the personal guaranty.

This shifts the burden to Defendants to show the existence of one or more triable issues of material fact. (Code Civ. Proc., § 437c, subd. (p)(2).) By not filing any opposition, including a separate statement, Defendants have failed to meet their burden on this motion.

As this matter is decided on the motion for summary judgment there is no need to address the alternative motion for summary adjudication.

Plaintiff is ordered to prepare and file a proposed order and judgment consistent with this order, to be filed with this court within five (5) days.

24CV-06596 Maria Romero vs Jose Oseguera, Junior, et al.

Motion for Summary Judgment

CONTINUED on the Court's own motion to January 23, 2026, at 8:15 a.m. in Courtroom 8.

25CV-02059 Trista Castro vs Mary Dobbs

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order Cross-Petition

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

25CV-05083 Tiffany Loewen vs Jeremiah Sweeting

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

25CV-05302 Guy Whitfield vs Daniel Ramirez

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. There is no proof of service on Respondent filed with the court.

25CV-05386 Elizabeth Romero vs Tiffany Loewen

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

25CV-05414 Elizabeth Romero vs Aiden Stewart

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

25CV-05436 Elizabeth Romero vs Irie Loewen

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

25CV-06496 Petition of: David Kurpiel-Jauss

Order to Show Cause Re: Name Change

Appearance required. Appear to provide status of publication.

25CV-06756 Elizabeth Romero vs McKenzie Williams

Order to Show Cause Re: Restraining Order

Appearance required. All parties have appeared in this matter.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MERCED

Limited Civil
Commissioner David Foster
Courtroom 9

627 W. 21st Street, Merced

Wednesday, January 21, 2026
10:00 a.m.

The following tentative rulings shall become the ruling of the court unless a party gives notice of intention to appear as follows:

1. You must call (209) 725-4240 to notify the court of your intent to appear.
2. You must give notice to all other parties before 4:00 p.m. of your intent to appear.

Per California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308(a)(1), failure to do both items 1 and 2 will result in no oral argument. *Note:* Notifying CourtCall (the court's telephonic appearance provider) of your intent to appear does not satisfy the requirement of notifying the court.

Case No.	Title / Description
18CV-01771	A-L Financial Corp. vs. Heavon Reding Claim of Exemption – Heavon Reding
Appearance required for judgment debtor.	

19CV-01372	JH Portfolio Debt Equities, LLC vs. David Fantazia, Senior
------------	--

Order to Show Cause Why This Case Should Not be Dismissed for Failure to Bring to Trial Within 5 Years

If there is no appearance by the parties to show good cause why the action should not be dismissed, the Court will dismiss the case for failure to bring the case to trial within 5 years of commencement of the action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 583.310 and 583.360.

22CV-03120

Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC vs. Julisa Aguilar

Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal - Notice of Settlement

On December 28, 2022, plaintiff filed a Notice of Settlement indicating conditional settlement and that a request for dismissal would be filed no later than October 23, 2025. No request for dismissal has been filed. If no party appears to request more time to complete the settlement, the Court will dismiss the case pursuant to rule 3.1385(c)(2) of the California Rules of Court.

24CV-04843

Capital One N.A. vs. M. Lopez

Claim of Exemption

Appearance required for judgment debtor.

25CV-01003

Steven Evans vs. Diamond Truck Sales Inc

Order of Examination

Appearance required. The Court notes that no proof of service has been filed showing service of the Order to Produce Statement of Assets and to Appear for Examination.

25CV-05954

[Parties' names withheld pursuant to CCP § 1161.2(a)(1)]

Review Hearing – Status of Settlement

Appearance required.

25CV-06614

[Parties' names withheld pursuant to CCP § 1161.2(a)(1)]

Court Trial: Unlawful Detainer

Appearance required.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MERCED

Limited Civil Short Cause Trials
Commissioner David Foster
Courtroom 9

627 W. 21st Street, Merced

Wednesday, January 21, 2026
1:30 p.m.

The following tentative rulings shall become the ruling of the court unless a party gives notice of intention to appear as follows:

1. You must call (209) 725-4240 to notify the court of your intent to appear.
2. You must give notice to all other parties before 4:00 p.m. of your intent to appear.

Per California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308(a)(1), failure to do both items 1 and 2 will result in no oral argument. *Note:* Notifying CourtCall (the court's telephonic appearance provider) of your intent to appear does not satisfy the requirement of notifying the court.

Case No.	Title / Description
23CV-02557	Onemain Financial Group, LLC vs. Ashlee L Penrod, et al.
Court Trial	
Appearance required.	
24CV-01450	Midland Credit Management, Inc. vs. Clark Villaver
Court Trial	
Appearance required.	
25CV-00849	Jefferson Capital Systems LLC vs. Teresa Bomersbach
Court Trial: Short Cause	
Appearance required.	